

Homogenization of pulsating travelling fronts

Mohammad El Smaily

Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia
& Pacific Institute for the Mathematical Sciences
1984 Mathematics Road, V6T 1Z2, Vancouver, BC, Canada
(elsmaily@math.ubc.ca)

April 6, 2009

Abstract

The goal of this paper is to find the homogenized equation of a heterogeneous Fisher-KPP model in a periodic medium. The solutions of this model are pulsating travelling fronts whose *speeds* are superior to a parametric minimal speed c_L^* . We first find the homogenized limit of the stationary states which depend on the space variable in many cases. Then, we prove that the pulsating travelling fronts converge to a classical $u_0 := u_0(t, x)$ of a homogenous reaction-diffusion equation. The homogenized limit u_0 is also a travelling front whose minimal speed of propagation is given in terms of the coefficients of the problem.

Keywords: homogenization, reaction-diffusion, front propagation, heterogeneous media.

AMS Classification: 35B27, 35B45, 35K55, 35K57.

1 Introduction and Setting of the Problem

This paper is a continuation in the study of the propagation phenomena of pulsating travelling fronts solving a heterogeneous reaction-diffusion equation. The notion of travelling fronts arised in 1937 in the *homogenous* model of Fisher [11] and Kolmogorov, Petrovsky, and Piskunov [14]. This model describes certain population dynamics. In the one-dimensional case, it corresponds to the following equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + u (\mu - \nu u), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.1)$$

The unknown $u = u(t, x)$ is the population density at time t and position x , and the positive constant coefficients D , μ and ν respectively correspond to the diffusivity (mobility of the individuals), the intrinsic growth rate and the susceptibility to crowding effects.

Later, many works extended the notion of *travelling fronts* to the notion of *pulsating travelling fronts* solving a *heterogenous* reaction-advection-diffusion equation in any dimensional space and in general periodic domains (see for example [1], [4], [5], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24] and [25]). We will recall, after introducing the terms in our problem, the definition of pulsating travelling fronts in the one-dimensional case. The references which were mentioned above can give a detailed and wide description of this notion in higher dimensions and in many general settings.

In this paper, the setting is similar to that in El Smaily, Hamel, and Roques [10]. We consider the parametric heterogenous reaction-diffusion equation ($L > 0$ is the parameter)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(a_L(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + f_L(x, u), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.2)$$

The diffusion term a_L satisfies

$$a_L(x) = a(x/L),$$

where a is a $C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$ (with $\delta > 0$) 1-periodic function that satisfies

$$\exists 0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha_1 \leq a(x) \leq \alpha_2. \quad (1.3)$$

On the other hand, the reaction term satisfies $f_L(x, \cdot) = f(x/L, \cdot)$, where $f := f(x, s) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is 1-periodic in x , of class $C^{1,\alpha}$ in (x, s) and C^2 in s . In this setting, both a_L and f_L are L -periodic in the variable x . Furthermore, we assume that:

$$\begin{cases} \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, & f(x, 0) = 0, \\ \exists M \geq 0, \forall s \geq M, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, & f(x, s) \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

In the main result of this paper, we need the assumption

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall s \in (0, M), \quad f(x, s) \geq 0. \quad (1.5)$$

Moreover, to ensure the existence of pulsating travelling fronts, we assume that f satisfies the following condition

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad s \mapsto f(x, s)/s \text{ is decreasing in } s > 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Let, for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$g(s) := \int_0^1 f(x, s) dx = \langle f(\cdot, s) \rangle_A$$

($\langle \cdot \rangle_A$ stands for the arithmetic mean of a function). Then (1.4) and (1.6) yield that $g(0) = 0$, $g(s) \leq 0$ for all $s \geq M$, and $s \mapsto \frac{g(s)}{s}$ is decreasing in s . Moreover, we set

$$\mu(x) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} f(x, s)/s,$$

and

$$\mu_L(x) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} f_L(x, s)/s = \mu\left(\frac{x}{L}\right).$$

The growth rate μ depends on the position x . The more favorable the region is, the higher the growth rate μ is.

The stationary states $p(x)$ of (1.2) satisfy the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(a_L(x) \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right) + f_L(x, p) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.7)$$

Under general hypotheses including those of this paper, and in any space dimension, it was proved in [4] that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a positive and bounded solution p of (1.7) was the negativity of the principal eigenvalue $\rho_{1,L}$ of the linear operator

$$\mathcal{L}_0 : \Phi \mapsto -(a_L(x)\Phi')' - \mu_L(x)\Phi,$$

with periodicity conditions. In this case, the solution p was also proved to be unique, and therefore L -periodic. Actually, it is easy to see that the map $L \mapsto \rho_{1,L}$ is nonincreasing in $L > 0$, and even decreasing as soon as a is not constant (see the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [10]). Furthermore, $\rho_{1,L} \rightarrow -\int_0^1 \mu(x) dx$ as $L \rightarrow 0^+$. In this paper, the assumption (1.5) yields that $\mu(x)$ is positive everywhere and hence

$$\int_0^1 \mu(x) dx > 0. \quad (1.8)$$

This guarantees that

$$\forall L > 0, \quad \rho_{1,L} < 0.$$

Now, we recall the definition of pulsating travelling fronts in the one-dimensional case:

Definition 1.1 (Pulsating traveling fronts) A function $u = u(t, x)$ is called a pulsating traveling front propagating from right to left with an effective speed $c \neq 0$, if u is a classical solution of:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(a_L(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + f_L(x, u), & t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, & u(t + \frac{kL}{c}, x) = u(t, x + kL), \\ 0 \leq u(t, x) \leq p_L(x), \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} u(t, x) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u(t, x) - p_L(x) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

where the above limits hold locally in t .

This definition was given in any space dimension in [1] and [22] whenever the stationary state $p_L \equiv 1$ and in [5] whenever $p_L \not\equiv 1$.

For each $L > 0$, assuming (1.3) on the diffusion a , (1.4), (1.6) and (1.8) on the nonlinearity f , the results of [5] yield that there exists $c_L^* > 0$ such that pulsating traveling fronts of (1.9) propagate with a speed c exist if and only if $c \geq c_L^*$. The value c_L^* is called the *minimal speed of propagation*. We refer to [2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21] for further results on the existence and properties of the minimal speed in the KPP case.

In El Smaily, Hamel, Roques [10], the homogenized speed was found by calculating the limit of c_L^* as $L \rightarrow 0^+$. Precisely, Theorem 2.1 in [10] yields that

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow 0^+} c_L^* = 2\sqrt{\langle a \rangle_H \langle \mu \rangle_A}, \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$\langle \mu \rangle_A = \int_0^1 \mu(x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad \langle a \rangle_H = \left(\int_0^1 (a(x))^{-1} dx \right)^{-1} = \langle a^{-1} \rangle_A^{-1}$$

denote the arithmetic mean of μ and the harmonic mean of a over the interval $[0, 1]$. This result was proved rigorously and it generalized the formal and numerical results of [13].

Having (1.10), there arise several questions about the homogenized equation of (1.9), the nature of the homogenous limit of the pulsating travelling fronts u_L and the type of convergence of $\{u_L\}_L$ as the periodicity parameter $L \rightarrow 0^+$. The main goal of this work is to answer these questions. After getting the homogenized equation of (1.9), a sharp lower bound of $\liminf_{L \rightarrow 0^+} c_L^*$ will be a direct consequence. It can be used as another argument to prove (1.10).

In this paper, some difficulties arise in finding $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ estimates, independent of L , for a sequence of pulsating travelling fronts $\{u_L\}_L$ and for

the corresponding sequence $\left\{a_L \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial x}\right\}_L$. In fact, each pulsating travelling front u_L satisfies a sort of (t, x) -periodicity (see the second line of (1.9)). This fact makes the procedure leading to the desired estimates indirect. Another difficulty comes from the dependance of the stationary states p_L on the space variable x . This is due to the choice of a wider class of heterogeneous nonlinearities in the present work. We mention that the situation becomes simpler if we assume that there is a positive value s_0 such that $f(x, s_0) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and that $f(x, s) > 0$ in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, s_0)$. Indeed, this yields that $p_L \equiv s_0$ for all $L > 0$ (see [4] and [5] for more details).

2 Main Results

Before going further in this section, we recall that the function g defined by

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad g(s) = \int_0^1 f(x, s) dx$$

satisfies $g(0) = 0$. Moreover, (1.8) yields that

$$g'(0) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^1 \frac{f(x, s)}{s} = \int_0^1 \mu(x) dx > 0.$$

Owing to (1.4) and (1.6), the map $s \mapsto \frac{g(s)}{s}$ is decreasing and $g(s) \leq 0$ for all $s \geq M$. Consequently, the function g admits a unique positive zero denoted by p_0 .

The following lemma gives many convergence results of the sequence $\{p_L\}_{L>0}$ of stationary states as $L \rightarrow 0^+$:

Lemma 2.1 (The homogenized stationary state at $+\infty$) *Assume that the diffusion $a = a(x)$ satisfies (1.3) and the nonlinearity f satisfies (1.4) and (1.6) together with $\int_0^1 \mu(x) dx > 0$. Let $\{L_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers in $(0, 1)$ such that $L_n \rightarrow 0^+$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Let p_0 denote the unique positive zero of the function $g(s) := \langle f(\cdot, s) \rangle_A$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $p_{L_n} := p_{L_n}(x)$ denotes the unique stationary state at $+\infty$ of the equation (1.7) with $L = L_n$. Then,*

- i) *The sequence $\{p_{L_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R})$.*
- ii) *$p_{L_n} \rightharpoonup p_0$ in $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R})$ weak and $p_{L_n} \rightarrow p_0$ in $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R})$ strong as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.*
- iii) *$p_{L_n} \rightarrow p_0$ in $C_{loc}^{0, \delta}(\mathbb{R})$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ for all $0 \leq \delta < 1/2$.*

Remark 2.1 We mention that the assumption (1.5) is not needed in Lemma 2.1. Actually, concerning the nonlinearity f , we assume that $\int_0^1 \mu(x)dx > 0$ in order to guarantee the existence and the uniqueness of the stationary state p_L solving (1.7) for each $L > 0$. The results of Lemma 2.1 hold in the cases where the sign of μ may be positive in some regions (favorable regions) and negative in others (unfavorable regions) provided that $\int_0^1 \mu(x)dx > 0$.

Now, we announce the homogenized equation of (1.9) and many convergence results of $\{u_L\}_{L>0}$ as $L \rightarrow 0^+$ in the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1 Assume that the diffusion a satisfies (1.3) and the reaction f satisfies (1.4-1.5) and (1.6). Let $\{L_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers in $(0, 1)$ such that $L_n \rightarrow 0^+$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let (c_{L_n}, u_{L_n}) be a pulsating travelling front solving (1.9) for $L = L_n$ and propagating with the speed c_{L_n} . Assume that $\{c_{L_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges and call $c := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c_{L_n} > 0$ (a justification will be given in the proof). On the other hand, let $u_0(t, x) = U_0(x + ct)$ denote a travelling front propagating from right to left with the speed c and which is a classical solution of the homogenous reaction-diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t} = \langle a \rangle_H \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial x^2} + g(u_0) \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.1)$$

with $U_0(-\infty) = 0$ and $U_0(+\infty) = p_0$ in $C_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then,

- i) $u_{L_n} \rightarrow u_0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ weak and in $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ strong.
- ii) For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_{L_n}(t, \cdot) \rightarrow u_0(t, \cdot)$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, in $C_{loc}^{0,\delta}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $0 \leq \delta < 1/2$. Furthermore,

$$c = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c_{L_n} \geq 2\sqrt{\langle a \rangle_H \langle \mu \rangle_A} = 2\sqrt{\langle a \rangle_H g'(0)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Remark 2.2 We mention that other homogenization results were found by Caffarelli, Lee and Mellet [6, 7] in the case of combustion-type nonlinearities.

As an application of the above theorem, we give the sharp lower bound of $\liminf_{L \rightarrow 0^+} c_L^*$ which was proved in [10] using other techniques:

Corollary 2.1 (A Sharp lower bound of $\liminf_{L \rightarrow 0^+} c_L^*$) Under the same assumptions of Theorem 2.1, one has

$$\liminf_{L \rightarrow 0^+} c_L^* \geq 2\sqrt{\langle a \rangle_H \langle \mu \rangle_A}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof of the corollary. It will be proved, in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 2.1, that $\liminf_{L \rightarrow 0^+} c_L^* > 0$. Thus, we can take a sequence $\{L_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $(0, 1)$ with $L_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and such that

$$c := \liminf_{L \rightarrow 0^+} c_L^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c_{L_n}^*.$$

A direct application of (2.2) leads to the inequality (2.3); and hence, completes the proof of Corollary 2.1. $\square$

3 Proofs of the announced results

Proof of Lemma 2.1. The proof of Lemma 2.1 will be divided into three steps:

Step 1: Convergence to a constant limit p_* . Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 on f , it follows from [4] that for each $L > 0$, the function p_L solving the equation

$$(a_L(x)p_L')' + f_L(x, p_L) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (3.1)$$

is unique, positive, L -periodic and

$$\forall L > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p_L(x) \leq M$$

where M is the constant appearing in (1.4).

One can directly conclude from above that the sequence $\{p_{L_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R})$. Now, we fix $L > 0$, multiply the equation (3.1) by p_L and then integrate by parts over any interval of the form $[-kL, kL]$ where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Owing to the L -periodicity of p_L , we get

$$\forall L > 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \int_{-kL}^{kL} a\left(\frac{x}{L}\right) (p_L')^2 dx = L \int_{-k}^k f(x, p_L(Lx)) dx. \quad (3.2)$$

Consider the values of L included in the interval $(0, 1)$ and let $\mathcal{K}$ be any compact interval of $\mathbb{R}$. For each $L > 0$, we denote

$$k_L = \left\lceil \frac{|\mathcal{K}|}{2L} \right\rceil + 1 \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $|\mathcal{K}|$ stands for the Lebesgue measure of the interval $\mathcal{K}$ and $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ stands for the integer part of a real number. One consequently has $|\mathcal{K}| \leq 2k_L L \leq |\mathcal{K}| + 2L$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq [-k_L L + mL, k_L L + mL]$ for some integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ depending on $\mathcal{K}$ and L .

Owing to the L -periodicity of f_L , a_L and p_L with respect to x together with the assumption (1.3) on the diffusion a , and using (3.2), we obtain

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \int_{-kL+mL}^{kL+mL} a\left(\frac{x}{L}\right) (p'_L)^2 dx = \int_{-kL}^{kL} a\left(\frac{x}{L}\right) (p'_L)^2 dx = L \int_{-k}^k f(x, p_L(Lx)) dx.$$

Consequently, for any compact interval $\mathcal{K}$ in $\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\forall 0 < L \leq 1, \quad \int_{\mathcal{K}} (p'_L)^2 dx \leq C(\mathcal{K}), \quad (3.3)$$

where $C(\mathcal{K}) := \frac{|\mathcal{K}| + 2}{\alpha_1} \max_{(x,s) \in [0,1] \times [0,M]} |f(x,s)|$ is a positive constant independent of L and depending on the size of the compact $\mathcal{K}$. In other words, the sequence $\{p_{L_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathcal{K})$ for any compact $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and this completes the proof of part i) of the Lemma.

Furthermore, we can conclude that there exists $p_* \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ such that, up to extraction of a subsequence, $p_{L_n} \rightharpoonup p_*$ in $H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ weak and $p_{L_n} \rightarrow p_*$ in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ strong as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Using Sobolev injections, we have $H^1(\mathcal{K})$ is embedded in $C^{0,1/2}(\mathcal{K})$. Thus, the sequence $\{p_{L_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $C^{0,1/2}(\mathcal{K})$. Compact embeddings (Schauder's estimates) and the uniqueness of the limit yield that, up to a subsequence, $p_{L_n} \rightarrow p_*$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, in $C^{0,\delta}_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $0 \leq \delta < 1/2$. But since each function p_{L_n} is L_n -periodic (with $L_n \rightarrow 0^+$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$), it follows from Arzela-Ascoli theorem that p_* has to be constant over $\mathbb{R}$.

Step 2: The constant limit p_* is positive. To achieve this goal, we will compare the stationary states p_L with the principal eigenfunctions Φ_L of the eigenvalue problem

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \Phi_L := -(a_L(x) \Phi'_L)' - \mu_L(x) \Phi_L = \rho_{1,L} \Phi_L \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.4)$$

which are L -periodic and positive in $\mathbb{R}$.

First, we divide (3.4) by Φ_L and then we integrate by parts over $[0, L]$. It then follows from the L -periodicity of Φ_L and the coefficients of $\mathcal{L}_0$ that

$$\forall L > 0, \quad - \int_0^L a_L \left(\frac{\Phi'_L}{\Phi_L} \right)^2 - \int_0^1 \mu(x) dx = \rho_{1,L}.$$

Hence,

$$\forall L > 0, \quad \rho_{1,L} \leq \rho_1 := - \int_0^1 \mu(x) dx < 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Next, due to the uniqueness up to multiplication by a nonzero constant of Φ_L , we can assume that $\|\Phi_L\|_\infty = 1$ for every $L \in \mathbb{R}$. Since the function

$f(x, s)$ is 1-periodic in x and of class C^1 on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, one can then find $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\forall 0 \leq s \leq \varepsilon_0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, f(x, s) - \mu(x)s \geq \frac{\rho_1}{2}s. \quad (3.6)$$

Having $0 < \varepsilon_0 \Phi_L \leq \varepsilon_0$, we get from (3.5) and (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} -(a_L \varepsilon_0 \Phi_L)' - f\left(\frac{x}{L}, \varepsilon_0 \Phi_L\right) &= \rho_{1,L} \varepsilon_0 \Phi_L + \varepsilon_0 \mu_L(x) \Phi_L - f\left(\frac{x}{L}, \varepsilon_0 \Phi_L\right) \\ &\leq \rho_1 \varepsilon_0 \Phi_L - \frac{\rho_1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \Phi_L \\ &= \frac{\rho_1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \Phi_L < 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for all $L > 0$.

Let us now fix any $L > 0$ and, for simplicity, denote

$$\psi_L := \varepsilon_0 \Phi_L.$$

We recall that the functions p_L and $\psi_L = \varepsilon_0 \Phi_L$ are both positive and L -periodic. Hence, we can define

$$\gamma^* := \sup\{\gamma > 0, p_L > \gamma \psi_L\} \geq 0.$$

Assume to the contrary that $\gamma^* < 1$. From the assumption (1.6), we have $f(x, \gamma^* \psi_L) > \gamma^* f(x, \psi_L)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Referring to (3.7), the following inequality then holds

$$-(a_L \gamma^* \psi_L)' - f\left(\frac{x}{L}, \gamma^* \psi_L\right) < 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.8)$$

Set $z := p_L - \gamma^* \psi_L$. Then $z \geq 0$, and there exists a sequence $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $z(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ (by definition of γ^*). Owing to the periodicity of z , one can then assume that $x_n \in [0, L]$. Hence, up to extraction of some subsequence, $x_n \rightarrow \bar{x} \in [0, L]$. From continuity, $z(\bar{x}) = 0$. Besides, it follows from (3.1) and (3.8) that there exists a continuous function $b = b(x)$ such that the nonnegative function z satisfies

$$(a_L z')' + b(x)z < 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}.$$

The strong maximum principle implies that $z \equiv 0$; and hence, $p_L \equiv \gamma^* \psi_L$. This contradicts with (3.8). Consequently, the assumption that $\gamma^* < 1$ is false; and thus, $p_L \geq \gamma^* \psi_L \geq \psi_L = \varepsilon_0 \Phi_L$ in $\mathbb{R}$. One then concludes that

$$\forall L > 0, \quad \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} p_L(x) = \max_{x \in [0, L]} p_L(x) \geq \varepsilon_0 \|\Phi_L\|_\infty = \varepsilon_0.$$

On the other hand, the constant limit p_* to which the L_n -periodic functions p_{L_n} converge uniformly on every compact of $\mathbb{R}$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ($L_n \rightarrow 0^+$) satisfies $p_* \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} p_{L_n}(x)$. Therefore, $p_* \geq \varepsilon_0 > 0$.

Step 3: The constant limit p_* is equal to p_0 . For each $L > 0$, we call

$$q_L(x) = a_L(x)p'_L(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Equation (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$\forall L > 0, \quad q'_L + f\left(\frac{x}{L}, p_L\right) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.9)$$

Consider any compact interval $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathbb{R}$ and, for each L , let $k_L > 0$ be the integer defined at the end of Step 1. From equation (3.9) one has

$$\forall 0 < L < 1, \quad \int_{-k_LL}^{k_LL} (q'_L)^2 = L \int_{-k_L}^{k_L} f^2(x, p_L(Lx)) dx.$$

Also, we have $0 < p_L \leq M$ for all $L > 0$, where M is the constant appearing in (1.4). Thus, for each compact interval $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant $C_1(\mathcal{K}) := (|\mathcal{K}| + 2) \max_{[0,1] \times [0,M]} |f^2(x, s)|$, which depends only on $\mathcal{K}$, such that

$$\forall 0 < L < 1, \quad \int_{\mathcal{K}} (q'_L)^2(x) dx \leq C_1(\mathcal{K}). \quad (3.10)$$

Having $\{L_n\}_n$ as a sequence of positive numbers in $(0, 1)$ such that $L_n \rightarrow 0^+$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we write $p_n = p_{L_n}$ and $q_n = q_{L_n}$. The assumption (1.3) together with (3.3) yield that $\{q_n\}_n$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathcal{K})$. Finally, the sequence $\{q_n\}_n$ is bounded in $H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$. Arguing as in Step 1, we can conclude that there exists a constant q_0 such that $q_n \rightharpoonup q_0$ in $H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ weak, $q_n \rightarrow q_0$ in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ strong, and $q_n \rightarrow q_0$ in $C^{0,\delta}_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $0 \leq \delta < 1/2$. However, $f(\frac{x}{L_n}, p_n) \rightarrow g(p_*)$ in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ weak-* as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in equation (3.9) (where $L = L_n$) implies that $g(p_*) = 0$. Referring to the properties of the function g which are mentioned at the beginning of Section 3 and owing to the positivity of the constant p_* , we conclude that $p_* = p_0$. Eventually, this completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. This proof will be done in four steps:

Step 1: A rough lower bound for the speeds c_{L_n} proving “ $c > 0$ ”.

From the results of [5], each pulsating travelling front u_{L_n} exists if and only if $c_{L_n} \geq c_{L_n}^*$. Moreover, for each $L > 0$, the minimal speed c_L^* is positive and,

from [5] (see also [3] in the case when $p \equiv 1$), it is given by the variational formula

$$c_L^* = \min_{\lambda > 0} \frac{k(\lambda, L)}{\lambda} = \frac{k(\lambda_L^*, L)}{\lambda_L^*}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\lambda_L^* > 0$ and $k(\lambda, L)$ (for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $L > 0$) denotes the principal eigenvalue of the problem

$$(a_L \phi'_{\lambda, L})' + 2\lambda a_L \phi'_{\lambda, L} + \lambda a'_L \phi_{\lambda, L} + \lambda^2 a_L \phi_{\lambda, L} + \mu_L \phi_{\lambda, L} = k(\lambda, L) \phi_{\lambda, L} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.12)$$

with L -periodicity conditions. In (3.12), $\phi_{\lambda, L}$ denotes a principal eigenfunction, which is of class $C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$, positive, L -periodic and unique up to multiplication by a positive constant. Dividing (3.12) by $\phi_{\lambda, L}$ and integrating by parts over $[0, L]$, we get from the L -periodicity of a_L and $\phi_{\lambda, L}$:

$$Lk(\lambda, L) = \int_0^L a_L \left(\frac{\phi'_{\lambda, L}}{\phi_{\lambda, L}} \right)^2 + \lambda^2 \int_0^L a_L + \int_0^L \mu_L,$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and for all $L > 0$. Consequently,

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \forall L > 0, \quad k(\lambda, L) \geq \lambda^2 \alpha_1 + \langle \mu \rangle_A, \quad (3.13)$$

where $\langle \mu \rangle_A = \int_0^1 \mu(x) dx > 0$. Hence,

$$\forall L > 0, \quad c_L^* \geq \min_{\lambda > 0} \left(\lambda \alpha_1 + \frac{\langle \mu \rangle_A}{\lambda} \right) = 2\sqrt{\alpha_1 \langle \mu \rangle_A}.$$

Therefore,

$$c := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c_{L_n} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c_{L_n}^* \geq 2\sqrt{\alpha_1 \langle \mu \rangle_A} > 0.$$

Step 2: Normalization of u_L . We start by considering the change of variable

$$\forall L > 0, \quad u_L(t, x) := \varphi_L(x + c_L t, x) = \varphi_L(s, x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows from (1.9) that φ_L is L -periodic with respect to x and it satisfies the equation

$$\partial_x(a_L \partial_x \varphi_L) + a_L \partial_{ss} \varphi_L + \partial_x(a_L \partial_s \varphi_L) + \partial_s(a_L \partial_x \varphi_L) - c_L \partial_s \varphi_L + f_L(x, \varphi_L) = 0 \quad (3.14)$$

for all $(s, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, by the construction of φ_L which led to the existence of u_L in [5], and due to the L -periodicity of φ_L , one has

$\varphi_L(s, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$ and $\varphi_L(s, x) \rightarrow p(x)$ as $s \rightarrow +\infty$ in $C^2(\mathbb{R})$ (in fact, this follows mainly from the standard elliptic estimates and from the periodicity of φ_L with respect to x). As a consequence, it was proved in [5] that

$$\forall L > 0, \quad u_L(-\infty, x) = 0 \text{ and } u_L(+\infty, x) = p(x) \text{ in } C_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then, the (t, x) -periodicity of the functions u_L led to the limiting conditions

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} u_L(t, x) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u_L(t, x) = p(x) \text{ locally in } t.$$

Now, we define the function

$$\forall L > 0, \quad I_L(s) = \int_{(0,1)^2} u_L(t + s, x) dt dx,$$

which is continuous over $\mathbb{R}$. We notice that for each $L > 0$, the function u_L is increasing in the first variable (time), hence I_L is increasing in $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, I_L satisfies $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} I_L(s) = 0$ and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} I_L(s) = \int_0^1 p_L(x) dx \geq \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}} p_L(x) > \frac{p_0}{2} > 0$$

for L small enough (by the L -periodicity and the uniform convergence of p_L to p_0 as $L \rightarrow 0^+$). Consequently, one can assume that, up to a shift in time,

$$\forall L > 0, \quad \iint_{(0,1) \times (0,1)} u_L(t, x) dt dx = \frac{p_0}{2}. \quad (3.15)$$

Step 3: Boundedness of $\{u_{L_n}\}_n$ and $\{a_{L_n} \partial_x u_{L_n}\}_n$ in $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$. To simplify notations, we consider a family $\{(c_L, u_L)\}_{0 < L < 1}$ of pulsating traveling fronts solving (1.9) with

$$0 < \underline{c} \leq c_L \leq \bar{c}$$

for all $0 < L < 1$, where $\underline{c}$ and $\bar{c}$ are two positive constants. We mention that, for the sequence $\{(c_{L_n}, u_{L_n})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which we consider in Theorem 2.1, we have $\underline{c} = 2\sqrt{\alpha_1 < \mu >_A}$ (see Step 1) and $\bar{c} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_{L_n}$.

Since $\varphi_L(-\infty, x) = 0$ and $\varphi_L(+\infty, x) = p(x)$ in $C^2(\mathbb{R})$ (for each $L > 0$), it follows then that $\nabla_{s,x} \varphi_L(-\infty, x) = 0$, $\partial_x \varphi_L(+\infty, x) = p'_L(x)$, and $\partial_s \varphi_L(+\infty, x) = 0$ uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Integrating (3.14) by parts over $\mathbb{R} \times [-kL, kL]$ (where $L > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$) and using the L -periodicity of φ_L with respect to x , we then get

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)} f\left(\frac{x}{L}, \varphi_L(s, x)\right) ds dx = c_L \int_{-kL}^{kL} p_L(x) dx - \int_{-kL}^{kL} a_L p'_L(x) dx,$$

or equivalently

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)} f\left(\frac{x}{L}, u_L(t, x)\right) dt dx = \int_{-kL}^{kL} p_L(x) dx - \frac{1}{c_L} \int_{-kL}^{kL} a_L p'_L(x) dx. \quad (3.16)$$

As done in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we take any compact interval $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and we define $k_L \in \mathbb{N}$ as before. We apply (3.16) for $k = k_L$. Having $0 < u_L(t, x) < p_L \leq M$ for all $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and owing to (1.3), (1.5) and (3.3), one then gets

$$0 < \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{K}} f\left(\frac{x}{L}, u_L(t, x)\right) dt dx \leq C_2(\mathcal{K}) := M(|\mathcal{K}| + 2) + \frac{\alpha_2}{\underline{c}} \sqrt{C(\mathcal{K})} \quad (3.17)$$

for all $0 < L < 1$. The constant $C_2(\mathcal{K})$ is independent of L .

Multiplying (3.14) by φ_L and integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_L}{2} \int_{-kL}^{kL} p_L^2(x) dx &= - \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)} \left[a_L \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_L}{\partial x} \right)^2 + a_L \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_L}{\partial s} \right)^2 + 2a_L \frac{\partial \varphi_L}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \varphi_L}{\partial s} \right] ds dx \\ &\quad + \int_{-kL}^{kL} a_L p'_L p_L dx + \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)} f\left(\frac{x}{L}, \varphi_L(s, x)\right) \varphi_L \\ &= - \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)} a_L \left(\frac{\partial u_L}{\partial x} \right)^2 dt dx + \int_{-kL}^{kL} a_L p'_L p_L dx \\ &\quad + \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)} f\left(\frac{x}{L}, u_L(t, x)\right) u_L dt dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Notice that the last integral in (3.18) converges because of (3.17) and $0 \leq f(x/L, u_L) u_L \leq M f(x/L, u_L)$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover,

$$\forall L > 0, \quad \left| \int_{-kL}^{kL} a_L p'_L p_L dx \right| \leq \alpha_2 M (2kL)^{1/2} \left(\int_{-kL}^{kL} p_L'^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Consequently,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{K}} \left(\frac{\partial u_L}{\partial x} \right)^2 dt dx \leq C_3(\mathcal{K}), \quad (3.19)$$

where $C_3(\mathcal{K}) := \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \left[M C_2(\mathcal{K}) + \alpha_2 M (2 + |\mathcal{K}|)^{1/2} \sqrt{C(\mathcal{K})} \right]$ is independent of L .

Now, we multiply (3.14) by $\partial_s \varphi_L$ and we integrate by parts over $\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)$. We notice that, from the L -periodicity with respect to x of the

function φ_L and its derivatives together with the limits of $\partial_s \varphi_L$ and $\partial_x \varphi_L$ as $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$, we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)} \partial_x(a_L \partial_x \varphi_L) \partial_s \varphi_L = -\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)} \partial_s(a_L (\partial_x \varphi_L)^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-kL}^{kL} a_L p_L^2 dx,$$

while

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)} \partial_x(a_L \partial_s \varphi_L) + \partial_s(a_L \partial_x \varphi_L) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$c_L \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_L}{\partial s} \right)^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-kL}^{kL} a_L p_L^2 dx + \int_{-kL}^{kL} F\left(\frac{x}{L}, p_L(x)\right) dx,$$

where $F(y, s) = \int_0^s f(y, \tau) d\tau$. Hence,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)} \left(\frac{\partial u_L}{\partial t} \right)^2 dt dx \leq c_L \int_{-kL}^{kL} F\left(\frac{x}{L}, p_L(x)\right) dx \leq \bar{c} \int_{-kL}^{kL} F\left(\frac{x}{L}, p_L(x)\right) dx. \quad (3.20)$$

Consequently, for all $0 < L < 1$,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{K}} \left(\frac{\partial u_L}{\partial t} \right)^2 dt dx \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times (-k_L L, k_L L)} \left(\frac{\partial u_L}{\partial t} \right)^2 dt dx \leq C_4(\mathcal{K}), \quad (3.21)$$

where $C_4(\mathcal{K}) := \bar{c} (2 + |\mathcal{K}|) \max_{(x,s) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0,M]} F(x, s)$ is a positive constant which is independent of L and depending only on the compact $\mathcal{K}$.

Denote

$$v_L(t, x) = a_L(x) \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial x}(t, x) \quad \text{and} \quad w_L(t, x) = \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial t}(t, x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

As already underlined, it follows from [5] (and [1] in the case $p_L \equiv 1$) that $w_L = \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial t} > 0$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ for each $L > 0$. We shall now establish some estimates (independent of L) for the functions v_L and w_L , in order to pass to the limit as $L \rightarrow 0^+$. Notice first that standard parabolic estimates and the (t, x) -periodicity satisfied by the functions u_L imply that, for each $L > 0$, $u_L(-\infty, x) = 0$ and $u_L(+\infty, x) = p_L(x)$ in $C_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R})$, and $w_L(\pm\infty, x) = 0$ in $C_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R})$. On the other hand, (3.21) yields that for each compact $\mathcal{K}$ and for each L , $\|w_L\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{K})} \leq \sqrt{C(\mathcal{K})}$. Now, we differentiate (3.14) with respect

to t (actually, from the regularity of f , the function w_L is of class C^2 with respect to x). There holds

$$\frac{\partial w_L}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(a_L(x) \frac{\partial w_L}{\partial x} \right) + f'_u\left(\frac{x}{L}, u_L\right) w_L \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Multiply the above equation by w_L and integrate by parts over $\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)$. From (1.3), (3.20) and the fact that $0 < u_L \leq M$, it follows that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)} \left(\frac{\partial w_L}{\partial x} \right)^2 dt dx \leq \frac{2kL\eta c_L}{\alpha_1}$$

where η is the positive constant defined by

$$\eta = \max_{(x,u) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0,M]} |f'_u(x, u)| \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F(x, M)| \geq \frac{1}{2kL} \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times (-kL, kL)} f'_u\left(\frac{x}{L}, u_L\right) w_L^2 dt dx > 0.$$

Then, for each compact $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant $C'(\mathcal{K}) > 0$ depending only on $\mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\forall 0 < L < 1, \quad \iint_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{K}} \left(\frac{\partial w_L}{\partial x} \right)^2 dt dx \leq C'(\mathcal{K}). \quad (3.22)$$

We pass now to the family $\{v_L\}_L$. Actually, $v_L = a_L \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial x}$ and $0 < \alpha_1 \leq a_L \leq \alpha_2$ for each $L > 0$. Thus, (3.19) yields that for each compact $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathbb{R}$ and for each $0 < L < 1$, $\|v_L\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{K})} \leq \alpha_2 C_3(\mathcal{K})$. Furthermore, (1.9) implies that

$$\forall L > 0, \quad \frac{\partial v_L}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial t} - f\left(\frac{x}{L}, u_L\right) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R},$$

while $0 \leq f(x/L, u_L(t, x)) \leq \kappa$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ where $\kappa = \max_{\mathbb{R} \times [0,M]} f(x, u) > 0$ is independent of L . Together with (3.21), one concludes that any family $\{\partial v_L / \partial x\}_{0 < L < 1}$ is bounded in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ by a constant independent of L . On the other hand,

$$\forall L > 0, \quad \frac{\partial v_L}{\partial t} = a_L \frac{\partial^2 u_L}{\partial t \partial x} = a_L \frac{\partial w_L}{\partial x} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Owing to (1.3) and (3.22), any family $\left\{ \frac{\partial v_L}{\partial t} \right\}_{0 < L < 1}$ is bounded in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$.

Step 4: Passage to the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ($L \rightarrow 0^+$). In this step, we consider the sequence $\{L_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Theorem 2.1 which is in $(0, 1)$ and which

tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. As a consequence of the previous step, $\{v_{L_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$. The estimates (3.19) and (3.21) imply that the sequence $\{u_{L_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$. Thus, there exist u_0 and v_0 in $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ such that, up to extraction of a subsequence, $u_{L_n} \rightarrow u_0$, $v_{L_n} \rightarrow v_0$ strongly in $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ and almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left(\frac{\partial u_{L_n}}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial u_{L_n}}{\partial x} \right) \rightharpoonup \left(\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x} \right) \text{ weakly in } L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}),$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial v_{L_n}}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v_{L_n}}{\partial x} \right) \rightharpoonup \left(\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x} \right) \text{ weakly in } L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. However, $a_{L_n}^{-1} \rightharpoonup \langle a^{-1} \rangle_A = \langle a \rangle_H^{-1}$ in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ weak-* as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus,

$$\frac{\partial u_{L_n}}{\partial x} = \frac{v_{L_n}}{a_{L_n}} \rightharpoonup \frac{v_0}{\langle a \rangle_H} \text{ weakly in } L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By uniqueness of the limit, one gets $v_0 = \langle a \rangle_H \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x}$. On the other hand, $f(\frac{x}{L_n}, u_{L_n}) \rightarrow g(u_0)$ in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ weak-* as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in the first equation of (1.9) with $L = L_n$ implies that u_0 is a weak solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x} + g(u_0) = \langle a \rangle_H \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial x^2} + g(u_0) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}).$$

From parabolic regularity, the function u_0 is then a classical solution of the homogenous equation

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t} = \langle a \rangle_H \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial x^2} + g(u_0) \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R},$$

such that $0 \leq u_0 \leq 1$ and $\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t} \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Lastly,

$$\iint_{(0,1)^2} u_0(t, x) dt dx = \frac{p_0}{2}$$

from (3.15). Now, referring to the fact that $\{u_{L_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$, we can say that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the function $u(t, \cdot)$ is bounded in $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then, we can conclude that, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{u_{L_n}(t, \cdot)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $C_{loc}^{0,1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ by Sobolev's embeddings. Hence, up to extraction of a subsequence

and due to the uniqueness of the strong limit in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$, $u_{L_n}(t, \cdot) \rightarrow u_0(t, \cdot)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in $C^{0,\delta}_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $0 \leq \delta < 1/2$. Having $0 < u_{L_n} < p_{L_n}$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the results of Lemma 2.1 and the previous discussion then lead to $0 \leq u_0 \leq p_0$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, it follows from the second equation of (1.9) that

$$\forall \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \quad u_0\left(t + \frac{\gamma}{c}, x\right) = u_0(t, x + \gamma) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $c = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c_{L_n} > 0$. In other words, $u_0(t, x) = U_0(x + ct)$, where U_0 is a classical solution of the equation

$$cU'_0 = \langle a \rangle_H U''_0 + \langle \mu \rangle_A g(U_0), \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \quad (3.23)$$

that satisfies $U'_0 \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq U_0(s) \leq p_0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\int_0^1 \left(\int_{cs}^{cs+1} U_0 \right) ds = \frac{p_0}{2}. \quad (3.24)$$

Standard elliptic estimates on (3.23) imply that U_0 converges as $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$ in $C^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ to two constants $U_0^\pm \in [0, p_0]$ such that $g(U_0^\pm) = 0$. The monotonicity of U_0 , the normalization (3.24) and the nature of the function g imply that $U_0^- = 0$ and $U_0^+ = p_0$. In other words, U_0 is a usual travelling front for the homogenized equation (3.23) with a speed c and limiting conditions 0 and p_0 at infinity. Since the minimal speed for this problem is equal to $2\sqrt{\langle a \rangle_H g'(0)} = 2\sqrt{\langle a \rangle_H \langle \mu \rangle_A}$, one concludes that

$$c \geq 2\sqrt{\langle a \rangle_H \langle \mu \rangle_A},$$

which proves (2.2). Eventually, the proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete. $\square$

References

- [1] H. Berestycki, F. Hamel, *Front propagation in periodic excitable media*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **55** (2002), pp. 949-1032.
- [2] H. Berestycki, F. Hamel, N. Nadirashvili, *The principal eigenvalue of elliptic operators with large drift and applications to nonlinear propagation phenomena*, Comm. Math. Phys. **253** (2005), pp. 451-480.
- [3] H. Berestycki, F. Hamel, N. Nadirashvili, *The speed of propagation for KPP type problems. I - Periodic framework*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **7** (2005), pp. 173-213.
- [4] H. Berestycki, F. Hamel, L. Roques, *Analysis of the periodically fragmented environment model : I - Species persistence*, J. Math. Biol. **5** (2005), pp. 75-113.

- [5] H. Berestycki, F. Hamel, L. Roques, *Analysis of the periodically fragmented environment model : II - Biological invasions and pulsating travelling fronts*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **84** (2005), pp. 1101-1146.
- [6] L.A. Caffarelli, K.-A. Lee, A. Mellet, *Singular limit and homogenization for flame propagation in periodic excitable media*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **172** (2004), pp. 153-190.
- [7] L.A. Caffarelli, K.-A. Lee, A. Mellet, *Homogenization and flame propagation in periodic excitable media: the asymptotic speed of propagation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **59** (2006), pp. 501-525.
- [8] M. El Smaily, *Pulsating travelling fronts: Asymptotics and homogenization regimes*, European J. Appl. Math., **19** (2008), pp. 393-434.
- [9] M. El Smaily, *Min-Max formulae for the speeds of pulsating travelling fronts in periodic excitable media*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, DOI: 10.1007/s10231-009-0100-2.
- [10] M. El Smaily, François Hamel, Lionel Roques, *Homogenization and influence of fragmentation in a biological invasion model*, to appear in Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Series A, in the special issue in honour of Professor Masayasu Mimura.
- [11] R.A. Fisher, *The wave of advance of advantageous genes*, Ann. Eugenics **7** (1937), pp. 355-369.
- [12] S. Heinze, *Large convection limits for KPP fronts*, preprint 21/(2005), Max-Planck Institut für Mathematik in den Naturwissenschaften, Leipzig.
- [13] N. Kinezaki, K. Kawasaki, F. Takasu, N. Shigesada, *Modeling biological invasion into periodically fragmented environments*, Theor. Popul. Biol. **64** (2003), pp. 291-302.
- [14] A.N. Kolmogorov, I.G. Petrovsky, N.S. Piskunov, *Étude de l'équation de la diffusion avec croissance de la quantité de matière et son application à un problème biologique*, Bull. Univ. État Moscou (Bjul. Moskovskogo Gos. Univ.), Sér. Inter. **A1** (1937), pp. 1-26.
- [15] L. Roques, F. Hamel, *Mathematical analysis of the optimal habitat configurations for species persistence*, Math. Biosciences **210** (2007), pp. 34-59.
- [16] G. Nadin, *Travelling fronts in space-time periodic media*, to appear in J. Math. Pures Appl.
- [17] J. Nolen, M. Rudd, J. Xin, *Existence of KPP fronts in spatially-temporally periodic advection and variational principle for propagation speeds*, Dyn. Part. Diff. Eq. **2**.
- [18] J. Nolen, J. Xin, *Existence of KPP type fronts in space-time periodic shear flows and a study of minimal speeds based on variational principle*, Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. **13** (2005), pp. 1217-1234.
- [19] N. Shigesada, K. Kawasaki, E. Teramoto, *Traveling periodic waves in heterogeneous environments*, Theor. Popul. Biol. **30** (1986), pp. 143-160.

- [20] N. Shigesada, K. Kawasaki, E. Teramoto, *The speeds of traveling frontal waves in heterogeneous environments*, In: Mathematical topics in population biology, morphogenesis and neurosciences, Teramoto E., Yamaguti M. (eds), Lecture Notes in Biomathematics **71**, Springer, 1987, pp. 87-97.
- [21] H.F. Weinberger, *On spreading speeds and traveling waves for growth and migration in periodic habitat*, J. Math. Biol. **45** (2002), pp. 511-548.
- [22] X. Xin, *Existence and uniqueness of travelling waves in a reaction-diffusion equation with combustion nonlinearity*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **40** (1991), pp. 985-1008.
- [23] X. Xin, *Existence and stability of travelling waves in periodic media governed by a bistable nonlinearity*, J. Dyn. Diff. Eq. **3** (1991), pp. 541-573.
- [24] X. Xin, *Existence of planar flame fronts in convective-diffusive periodic media*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **121** (1992), pp. 205-233.
- [25] J.X. Xin, *Analysis and modeling of front propagation in heterogeneous media*, SIAM Review **42** (2000), pp. 161-230.